



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 11/04/2002



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,715	02/25/2002	Francis M. Creighton	5236-000313	5857	
7:	590 11/04/2002				
Bryan K. Wheelock Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C. Suite 400 7700 Bonhomme St. Louis, MO 63105			EXAMINER		
			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St. Louis, MO	05105		2832		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)



Office Action Summary



Application No. Applicant(s)

10/082,715

Examiner

Lincoln Donovan

Art Unit 2832

Creighton



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period	for Reply					
THE I	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- If the property - If NO property - If NO property - If NO property - If the proper	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the pply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) Notes application to become	MONTHS for the ABANDO	from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				,		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This acti	ion is non-final.				
3) □	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	ition of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗔	-Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
	Claims 1-30					
	ation Papers		·			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepted	or b)[\Box objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examine					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some* c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	·		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	·				
14)∐	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional	• •				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		4) Interview Sum	············· (PTC	0.4121 Papar Na/al		
			Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
		6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,715

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a permanent magnet, classified in class 335, subclass 302.
 - II. Claims 11-30, drawn to a method of making a permanent magnet, classified in class148, subclass 101.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the magnet can be made by using varying types of magnetic material.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application contains claims in group I directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1: claims 1-2 and 5-6, drawn to a desired magnetic field at a selected point (it is noted that claim 5 appears to be identical to claim 1);

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/082,715

Art Unit: 2832

Embodiment 2: claims 3-4 and 7, drawn to an undesired magnetic field at a selected point (it is noted that claim 5 appears to be identical to claim 3).

This application contains claims in group IV directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Embodiment 1:

claim 11;

Embodiment 2:

claim 12;

Embodiment 3:

claim 13;

Embodiment 4:

claim 14;

Embodiment-5: - - - claim-15; - - -

-1-1--- 15.

Embodiment 6:

claim 16;

Embodiment 7:

claim 17;

Embodiment 8:

claims 18-27; and

Embodiment 9:

claims 28-30.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 2832

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other-invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

LDD

November 2, 2002